

FIG. 1A
(PRIOR ART)

2/13



FIG. 1B
(PRIOR ART)

3/13




FIG. 2




FIG. 3

4/13




FIG. 4




FIG. 5

5/13

50




FIG. 6

6/13




FIG. 7C




FIG. 7B




FIG. 7A

7/13




FIG. 8A




FIG. 8B

8/13




FIG. 9

9/13

62

THE VOTE CAPPED A MORNING AND EARLY AFTERNOON
FRAUGHT WITH LEGISLATIVE MANEUVERING AND SOME
AMOUNT OF NAIL-BITING AS HAGEL'S CONTROVERSIAL
ATTEMPT TO SUBSTITUTE THE LANGUAGE OF THE
MCCAIN-FEINGOLD BILL WAS SPLIT INTO THREE
SECTIONS, EACH OF WHICH THE STEWARDS OF
MCCAIN-FEINGOLD SOUGHT TO TABLE WITH A VOTE.

 MESSAGE BOARDCAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM RESOURCESSTATUS AND SUMMARY OF THE
MCCAIN-FEINGOLD REFORMS
HAGEL'S BILL STATUS AND
SUMMARY (FROM THOMAS)

ONE OF THOSE SECTIONS SURVIVED WHEN AN
OVERWHELMING NUMBER OF SENATORS FROM BOTH
PARTIES VOTED FIRST NOT TO TABLE AND THEN APPROVE A HAGEL PROVISION THAT
WOULD SET IN PLACE STRICTER DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SOURCE OF
CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS.

FIG. 10



11/13




FIG. 12

12/13




FIG. 13




FIG. 14




FIG. 15

- | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1. TEMPLATE CONTENT | TEMPLATE_CONTENT_BLOCK |
| 2. DEFAULT: | DEFAULT_BLOCK |
| 3. INPUT/FORM RELATED: | FORM_BLOCK |
| 4. GENERIC NAVIGATION: | GENERIC_NAV_BLOCK |
| 5. CONTENT NAVIGATION: | CONTENT_NAV_BLOCK |
| 6. CONTENT: | CONTENT_BLOCK |
| 7. ORGANIZATIONAL NAVIGATION: | ORG_NAV_BLOCK |

FIG. 16